Variability in benthic oxygen fluxes during the winter-spring transition in coastal sediments: an estimation by in situ micro-electrodes and laboratory mini-electrodes
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Abstract

Two expeditions were achieved at the winter-spring transition in the Golfe de Fos (Mediterranean Sea) at a site situated at 21 m depth. An in situ autonomous oxygen profiler and laboratory oxygen mini-electrodes were used to measure the oxygen distribution in the sediments and calculate the diffusive oxygen fluxes. Clearer waters during the second expedition promoted a rapid shift from a net heterotrophic environment to a photosynthesis-dominated sediment. The diffusive exchange fluxes of oxygen through the sediment-water interface varied from an average consumption of 5.3 mmol m–2 d –1 (February) to a net production of 12 mmol m –2 d –1 (March). At both periods, a large spatial heterogeneity was recorded by the different electrodes, with a larger difference between oxygen profiles when photosynthesis was active. This is probably indicative of a coupling between photosynthesis and respiration in hot spots located close to the photosynthetic organisms. The comparison between in situ micro-electrode profiles and laboratory mini-electrode measurements revealed a good agreement when respiration was dominant, but photosynthetic activity was not detected by the laboratory mini-electrode profiles.
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Résumé

Variabilité des flux benthique d’oxygène pendant la transition hiver-printemps dans les sédiments côtiers: estimation par micro-électrodes in situ et par mini-électrodes au laboratoire. Deux campagnes ont été effectuées lors de la transition entre l’hiver et le printemps dans le golfe de Fos (mer Méditerranée) à un site situé à 21 mètres de profondeur. Un profileur benthique autonome et des mini-electrodes de laboratoire ont été utilisés pour mesurer la distribution d’oxygène dans les sédiments et calculer les flux diffusifs d’oxygène. Lors de la seconde campagne, la présence d’eau moins turbide a entraîné un changement rapide passant d’un environnement dominé par l’hétérotrophie à un environnement où la photosynthèse est le processus le plus actif. Les flux d’échanges d’oxygène à l’interface eau-sédiment varient d’une consommation nette de 5.3 mmol m–2 d–1 (février) à une production nette de 12 mmol m–2 d–1 (mars). A chaque période, une grande hétérogénéité spatiale est enregistrée par les différentes électrodes; des différences plus importantes sont notées quand la photosynthèse est active. Ceci indique probablement un couplage entre photosynthèse et respiration dans des « points chauds » situés à proximité des organismes photosynthétiques. La comparaison entre les mesures effectuées par micro-électrodes in situ et par mini-électrodes de laboratoire montrent un bon accord dans des conditions où la respiration est dominante, mais la photosynthèse n’est pas détectée sur les profils des mini-électrodes de laboratoire.
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1. Introduction

Oxygen consumption by marine sediments has been largely studied in order to determine benthic metabolism and organic carbon mineralization (Mackin and Swider, 1989; Rabouille and Gaillard, 1991; Glud et al., 1994; Sayles et al., 1994; Cai et al., 1995; Sauter et al., 2001). In fact, the organic matter reaching the sea floor is degraded and recycled by a range of benthic microbial metabolisms (Froelich et al., 1979; Canfield et al., 1993a) including oxic mineralization, which takes place in the early stages of sedimentary organic matter degradation. The consumption of oxygen by coastal sediments is responsible for extensive hypoxia in several estuaries and eutrophicated coastal zones (Justic, 1991; Justic et al., 1994; Nixon, 1995; Zimmerman and Canuel, 2000). In these sediments, oxygen is also an important control on the mobility of elements like phosphate, manganese, sulfide, etc. (Emerson et al., 1984; Sundby et al., 1986; Canfield et al., 1993b; Thamdrup et al., 1994). Dissolved O$_2$ has a major influence on fluxes of redox-sensitive metals and associated species like Fe, Cu, Ni, Cd, Zn, Pb (Klinkhammer et al., 1982; Westerlund et al., 1986; Gerringa, 1990; Van Ryssen et al., 1998; Zoumis et al., 2001; Ngiam and Lim, 2001) which cause environmental and health-related problems. A better understanding of oxygen biogeochemical cycle in coastal sediments and its variations with time during the seasons is needed to assess the impact of natural or anthropogenic perturbations (Rabouille et al., 2001).

Oxygen distribution results from the complex interplay between physical processes (diffusion, sedimentation), chemical reactions (oxidation of reduced species) and biogeochemical processes such as photosynthesis or respiration. To estimate the net rates of production or consumption, oxygen distribution in sediments achieved with polarographic mini- or micro-electrodes can be used (Helder and Bakker, 1985; Revsbech and Jørgensen, 1986).

This paper presents oxygen profiles obtained by in situ micro-electrodes and laboratory mini-electrodes in marine sediments of a Mediterranean coastal environment heavily impacted by industrial activity (Golfe de Fos, France). O$_2$ fluxes at the sediment-water interface, reaction rates calculated following Berg et al. (1998) and penetration depths of oxygen are evaluated for two cruises at a 1 month interval. They provide two contrasted situations due to the presence or absence of benthic primary production which influences largely the net flux of oxygen between the water column and the sediment. The in situ profiles are compared to laboratory measurements as a cross check of the two techniques.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study site and sampling methods

In situ measurements were carried out at site Hycarfos (43°22.364’N, 4°59.674’E), in the Golfe de Fos near Marseille (France) during February and March 2000 [Fig. 1]. Mean water depth was 21 m at the station. The sea floor was composed of muddy-sand sediments characterized by intense bioturbation. Burrows were visible at the sediment surface and care was taken to make measurements out of these burrows. Sediment cores with undisturbed surface structures were also sampled by divers using 25 cm PVC core liners (i.d. 11 cm). They were capped in the water with no air bubble inside and transported to the laboratory in a cool box.

In the lab, cores were stored at in situ temperature until clear

Fig. 1. Map of the Golfe de Fos with the location of the study site Hycarfos.
overlying water (about 1 h). During the expedition, bottom-water was sampled by diving with Niskin bottle for determination of salinity, temperature and dissolved oxygen. In February and March, water temperature and salinity at Hycarfos were 12.3 and 35.5 °C, and 13.8 and 35.9 °C, respectively.

2.2. In situ micro-electrodes measurements

Profiles of dissolved O₂ and formation factor were measured in situ across the sediment-water interface at site Hycarfos. We used in situ benthic microprofiling instrument, Unisense deep-sea profiler (Black et al., 2001), based on the design of Reimers (1987). The profiler, mounted on a tripod frame, was settled on the sea floor by divers with minimum disturbance of sediment.

Dissolved O₂ concentrations were measured by polargraphic oxygen micro-electrodes provided with a built-in reference and an internal guard cathode (Revsbech, 1989). The O₂ microsensors had tip outer diameters of 100 µm, a stirring sensitivity of <1% (i.e. signal increase in flowing vs. stagnant water), a 90% response time <10 s, and the current drift was less than 2% per hour. The zero oxygen currents were typically on the order of 0-12 pA, in accordance with values measured in the laboratory with an ascorbate solution, and the bottom-water signals ranged from 270 to 480 pA, depending on the exact geometry of the electrode tip (Gundersen et al., 1998). The electrode signals were recorded in the overlying water both before and after the profile, to access the stability of the measurements. Linear calibration of micro-electrodes was achieved between bottom-water value estimated by Winkler titration (Grasshoff et al., 1983) and the anoxic zone of the sediment. The vertical resolution of the measurements was 500 µm in February and 100 µm in March.

The position of the sediment-water interface relative to the in situ oxygen profiles was determined from O₂ microprofiles. The classical technique (Sweerts and Cappenberg, 1988; Revsbech, 1989) consists in assigning the interface position to a break in the oxygen concentration gradient due to the increased diffusion coefficient in the sediment compared to the diffusive boundary layer. Since the resolution of the profiles in February was 0.5 mm at best, a distance similar to the thickness of the diffusive boundary layer (Gundersen and Jørgensen, 1990), we could not use this classical technique to determine the position of the sediment-water interface. As a consequence, with our low resolution of February, we imposed the interface position to the second point where the concentration variation was larger than the noise of the constant reading of the water column. The uncertainty is thus ±500 µm on the interface position for this period. In March, where the resolution was 100 µm and a peak of O₂ was detected, we assigned the interface position to the start of the oxygen increase with a thin DBL of 100-200 µm. Oxygen penetration depth was determined from O₂ profiles as the depth where the signal of the O₂ micro-electrode reached the zero current.

Resistivity measurements were made with an electrode similar to that described by Andrews and Bennett (1981). Four thin parallel wires were buried in a matrix of epoxy, with only their tips in electrical contact with seawater. Resistivity recordings were converted to formation factor values by the formulation of Berner (1980):

\[ F = \frac{R_z}{R_{bw}} \quad (1) \]

where \( F \) is the formation factor, \( R_z \) is the mean of the resistivity at a given depth \( z \) and \( R_{bw} \) is the average resistivity in bottom-water. Formation factor profiles were determined with the micro-profilers both in situ and in the laboratory. The results were presented using the factor \( F^{-1} \) that is proportional to porosity (Ullman and Aller, 1982). Concurrently, the sediment porosity, \( \phi \) (pore water volume/total volume), was determined at 2 cm depth intervals from the weight loss upon drying at 60 °C during 2 weeks of sediment core segments of known weight and volume. Sediment density, which was measured with a helium pycnometer amounted to 2.56 g cm⁻³.

2.3. Laboratory mini-electrodes measurements

Sediment cores at in situ temperature were used for laboratory profiles using mini-electrodes, which were achieved according to the procedure of Thomas (1978) and Revsbech and Jørgensen (1986). Mini-electrodes consist of gold-covered platinum wire insulated in glass and glued into 1 mm outer diameter hypodermic needles of up to 15 cm length. The gold-plated tip (10 µm i.d.) surrounded by epoxy resin is covered with a semi-permeable membrane of cellulose nitrate (De Wit et al., 1997). The sensing tip is around 10–50 µm and the needle outer diameter is 1 mm. The electrode had a linear response within 10–100% air saturation. The 90% response time was about 45 s. Electrode currents for 10% (bubbling with N₂) and 100% air saturation of O₂ at 20 °C were, respectively, 0.09–0.2 and 1.2–2 nA. Values in the anoxic zone of the sediment were around 0.05–0.08 nA. Like in situ profiles, a two point linear calibration of the mini-electrodes was performed using the constant reading recorded in the anoxic part of the sediment and the dissolved oxygen concentration in the bottom-water. Mini-electrodes were attached to a micromanipulator, and vertical profiles were recorded at 500 µm in February and 200 µm in March.

We note a potential problem of resolution with mini-electrodes due to their diameter (around 1 mm), which might introduce a physical disturbance of the sediment and alteration of the profile. However, for soft and muddy sediments, this perturbation is certainly minimal because gentle penetration of the needle does not cause strong sediment compaction (De Wit, 1995).

2.4. Calculation of reaction rates

We used a software called Profile developed by Berg et al. (1998) to calculate net reaction rates for oxygen in sediments with variable porosity. Briefly, the programme consists in a
model describing oxygen transport and reaction in several zones where the reaction rate is constant. The model minimizes the difference between the output profile and the observation by modifying the number of zones and the reaction rates in each zone. The output of the programme consists in net reaction rates (production-respiration) integrated over a given sediment layer. We used a unique porosity profile derived from the resistivity measurements to calculate the consumption rates from different electrode profiles. We performed sensitivity tests with Profile and calculated the reaction rates for mini- and micro-electrode profiles.

3. Results

3.1. Oxygen profiles

3.1.1. February

Fig. 2 shows laboratory and in situ oxygen profiles measured in February. The profiler was deployed two times. First with one O$_2$ micro-electrode (in situ 1; spatial resolution: 1 mm) and then with two micro-electrodes 10 cm apart (in situ 2 and 3; spatial resolution: 500 µm). Ten readings were taken by each sensor at each position over 10 s. They displayed little and erratic variations (about 1%) and were later averaged to yield individual data points for oxygen and formation factor profiles. The fourth profile (Lab 1) was made in laboratory with steps of 500 µm. During this deployment, the bottom-water was saturated with oxygen at 262 µmol l$^{-1}$. Oxygen distribution in pore water decreased from bottom-water concentration in first linear and then concave downward profiles. General shape and oxygen gradients at the interface are similar for in situ and lab measurements. Oxygen penetration varies from 4.5 to 6 mm depth into the sediment between the three in situ profiles. At low O$_2$ concentration, the signal of the mini-electrodes drops rapidly, finally resulting in a larger O$_2$ penetration, about 7 mm. These depths of O$_2$ penetration are typical in coastal sediment (Mackin and Swider, 1989; Rasmussen and Jorgensen, 1992). Below these depths, dissolved oxygen became undetectable.

3.1.2. March

In situ and laboratory oxygen profiles measured in March are presented in Fig. 3. The in situ deployment was achieved with two oxygen micro-electrodes (in situ 4 and 5) and a spatial resolution of 100 µm. In the laboratory, two profiles were done in the same core with steps of 200 µm (Lab 2 and Lab 3).

In March, oxygen concentration in the bottom-water was 268 µmol l$^{-1}$. In situ measurements with high spatial resolution showed a peak in oxygen concentration at or slightly below the sediment-water interface. These peaks are, respectively, 290 and 325 µmol l$^{-1}$ for in situ 4 and 5, respectively. The layer where the oxygen concentration is elevated is only 800 µm thick. For these profiles, oxygen penetration depths are 6.5 mm for in situ 4 and 4.9 mm for in situ 5. The minimum depth penetration is associated with the larger oxygen peak. None of the two laboratory oxygen profiles show a peak at the interface. The general shape is similar for
both profiles except at the sediment-water interface. One of them (Lab 3) suggests a decrease of oxygen concentration in the bottom-water above the sediment (1 mm), whereas Lab 2 does not. However, for both profiles, the gradients are similar in the sediment and the oxygen penetration is about 6 mm.

A comparison between laboratory and in situ profiles reveals similar negative slopes at high oxygen concentration. At low concentration, a change in slope is observed on mini-electrode profiles as already observed on February profiles.

3.2. Resistivity and porosity results

The porosity measured with a 2 cm step decreases gradually with depth from 0.50 for the top 2 cm to an average value of 0.43 at 20 cm depth (Fig. 4a). These profiles show relatively low values of porosity for coastal sediment but they are consistent with data reported by Jørgensen and Sørensen (1985).

Profiles of \( F^{-1} \) measured in situ and in sediment cores have similar shapes (Fig. 4b). The \( F^{-1} \) factor decreases from 1 in the bottom water to a mean value of 0.39 at 22 mm depth. \( F^{-1} \) values decrease 3 or 4 mm above the sediment-water interface due to the interactions between the electrical field generated by the resistivity probe and the sediment (Andrews and Bennett, 1981; Archer et al., 1989). The uncertainty in locating the interface for our resistivity profiles is about ± 1 mm. The location of the sediment-water interface for the in situ data set is estimated by assuming a similar behavior of the probe both in laboratory and in situ conditions. The \( F^{-1} \) average value at the sediment-water interface would thus be 0.76 ± 0.1 for in situ measurements. The inverse of the formation factor \( (F^{-1}) \) was calibrated against porosity using a simplified procedure from Andrews and Bennett (1981). We used the average \( F^{-1} \) value between 2 and 3 cm (0.35) and the porosity measured by the water loss technique (0.44). We assumed that \( F^{-1} \) was related through a power law to porosity

\[
F^{-1} = \phi^{-1.28} \quad (2)
\]

(F\(^{-1} \) = \( \phi^{-1.28} \) Andrews and Bennett, 1981; Ullman and Aller, 1982). The relationship that we found was used to calibrate \( F^{-1} \) against porosities:

The exponent of 1.28 falls in the lower range proposed by Ullman and Aller (1982) for low porosity sediment (\( \phi < 0.7 \)).

3.3. Oxygen flux calculation and integrated reaction rates

The diffusive \( O_2 \) fluxes at the sediment-water interface were estimated using both, the in situ and laboratory oxygen profiles with the Profile software. In March, where a peak of oxygen is present at or slightly below the sediment surface, the sediment is a net source of \( O_2 \) to the water column. The diffusive flux of oxygen at the sediment-water interface is reported in Table 1.

A positive flux in this table indicates that the sedimentary column is a net heterotrophic environment (oxygen enters the sediment). The \( O_2 \) fluxes calculated for mini- and micro-electrodes in February are within a factor of 2. The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>February</th>
<th>( \text{Oxygen flux in mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1} )</th>
<th>( \text{Oxygen consumption in mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1} )</th>
<th>( \text{Oxygen production in mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lab 1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In situ 2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In situ 3</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lab 2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in situ 4</td>
<td>–4.6</td>
<td>5.8 (1–4 mm)</td>
<td>10.4 (0–1 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in situ 5</td>
<td>–19.3</td>
<td>10.9 (0.6–5 mm)</td>
<td>30.2 (0–0.6 mm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( (F^{-1} \) = \( \phi^{-1.28} \) Andrews and Bennett, 1981; Ullman and Aller, 1982). The relationship that we found was used to calibrate \( F^{-1} \) against porosities:

\[
F^{-1} = \phi^{-1.28} \quad (2)
\]

(F\(^{-1} \) = \( \phi^{-1.28} \) Andrews and Bennett, 1981; Ullman and Aller, 1982). The relationship that we found was used to calibrate \( F^{-1} \) against porosities:

The exponent of 1.28 falls in the lower range proposed by Ullman and Aller (1982) for low porosity sediment (\( \phi < 0.7 \)).

3.3. Oxygen flux calculation and integrated reaction rates

The diffusive \( O_2 \) fluxes at the sediment-water interface were estimated using both, the in situ and laboratory oxygen profiles with the Profile software. In March, where a peak of oxygen is present at or slightly below the sediment surface, the sediment is a net source of \( O_2 \) to the water column. The diffusive flux of oxygen at the sediment-water interface is reported in Table 1.

A positive flux in this table indicates that the sedimentary column is a net heterotrophic environment (oxygen enters the sediment). The \( O_2 \) fluxes calculated for mini- and micro-electrodes in February are within a factor of 2. But the

Fig. 4. Porosity profiles (A) measured in the lab and \( F^{-1} \) profiles (B) realized from resistivity measurements made in situ and in the laboratory.
312 oxygen fluxes for in situ 3 and Lab 1 are quite similar, 6.8 and
313 6.3 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹, respectively. The two in situ micro-
314 profiles performed at the same time indicate a variation by a
315 factor of 2, which underline a large lateral heterogeneity in
316 this environment. For March, the net flux shows a large
317 variability between the two in situ sensors (a factor of 4). The
318 laboratory measurement shows a positive flux (towards the
319 sediment) contrarily to the in situ profiles, which indicates
320 that photosynthesis had not restarted at the time of laboratory
321 measurement. The integrated oxygen consumption rates for
322 in situ profiles range from 5.8 to 10.9 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹, whereas
323 Lab 2 shows a slightly lower oxygen consumption rate (4.4
324 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹).

325 3.4. Sensitivity tests for porosity profiles using Berg’s
326 Model

327 Sensitivity analysis was carried out concerning the role of
328 the porosity profile in determining the rate distribution with
329 depth. Indeed, most measured O₂ profiles showed flat distribu-
330 tions below the sediment-water interface over 2-3 mm. If
331 porosity was constant, this flat part would signify that oxygen
332 consumption is negligible and diffusion dominates. Since
333 porosity variations are large in this portion of the sediment,
334 the flat oxygen curve does indicate consumption but the rate
335 is largely determined by the shape of the porosity profile. To
336 illustrate this point, we have performed a calculation of
337 reaction rates with Profile using constant porosity profiles
338 and compared them to the calculation using a variable poros-
339 ity profile. The constant porosity was set to 0.64, the average
340 of the variable porosity profile over the oxygen penetration
341 depth (about 5 mm). This value is different from the mean
342 value measured on the first 2 cm but reflects the average of
343 the resistivity calibrated values. Averaging the imposed po-
344 rosity profile over 2 cm with an asymptot at 0.45 as proposed
345 on Fig. 3 would yield a porosity of 0.5, compatible with the
346 macroscopic observations. Fig. 3 clearly shows the role of
347 the porosity in determining the distribution of the consump-
348 tion rate. When constant porosity is introduced, the rate
349 distribution shifts towards depth. The integrated consump-
350 tion rate is also different in both cases: it is 2.5 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹
351 for in situ 2 and 3.5 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ for in situ 3 with constant
352 porosity, between 35% and 45% lower than with variable
353 porosity (3.9 and 6.8, respectively). Therefore, we used a
354 variable porosity profile for our rate calculations.

355 3.5. Distribution with depth of oxygen
356 consumption/production rates

357 We calculated the distribution with depth of net rates of
358 oxygen consumption and production using the software pub-
359 lished by Berg et al. (1998). It is important to point out that
360 the rates calculated by the Profile software correspond to net
361 rates calculated on separate sediment layers rather than gross
362 rates. For example, the calculation of oxygen production in
363 the top layer of the sediment in March corresponds to the sum
364 of production-respiration in this layer, which certainly
365 largely underestimates the gross primary production.

366 The distribution of oxygen consumption rate in the sedi-
367 ment were calculated for all profiles (Fig. 6 and 7) and
368 integrated rates in Table 1. During February, the integrated
369 rates varied between both in situ oxygen profiles by a factor
370 of 2. The distribution with depth of the reaction rates is also
371 very different between the two profiles: in situ 2 shows three
372 reaction zones whereas in situ 3 shows only one, and in situ 2
373 exhibits a low consumption rate in the first millimeter and
374 larger consumption deeper compared to in situ 3 consump-
375 tion which spreads over most of the oxygen profile. The
376 distribution with depth of the consumption rate calculated
377 from laboratory mini-electrode data is consistent with in situ
378 2 showing lower consumption in the first millimeter and
379 larger consumption at mid-depth, but the integrated reaction
380 rates of the laboratory profile is two times larger than in situ
381 2. One important difference between the laboratory mini-
382 electrode and the in situ profiles is the consumption rate at the
383 oxic-suboxic boundary. The laboratory profile indicates a
384 very low consumption rate (7.5 µmol l⁻¹ h⁻¹) at its lower
385 boundary, whereas both in situ profiles show consumptions
386 larger than 40 µmol l⁻¹ h⁻¹.

387 In March, the large peak of oxygen at the sediment-water
388 interface is the result of an intense photosynthesis with net O₂
389 production rates ranging from 400 to 2000 µmol l⁻¹ h⁻¹.
390 These net rates result from the competition between photo-
391 synthesis and respiration in each layer and do not correspond
392 to gross primary production rates.

393 There is a large difference between the two profiles, which
394 will be discussed in the next section. Deeper in the sediment
395 where light is absent, respiration ranges between 100 and 300
396 µmol l⁻¹ h⁻¹ in contrast to oxygen consumption estimated in
397 February at similar depths (60-80 µmol l⁻¹ h⁻¹). This indi-
398 cates that mineralization is more active with photosynthesis
399 than without it. Laboratory profile does not catch photosyn-
400 thesis (the light conditions were not reproduced), and there-
401 fore, shows no oxygen peak and indicates lower oxygen
402 consumption rates (around 80 µmol l⁻¹ h⁻¹ in subsurface
403 sediment).

404 4. Discussion

405 4.1. Temporal variability

406 The measurements achieved in February and March
407 showed two different environmental situations: In February,
408 we encountered “classical” conditions with oxygen decreas-
409 ing in pore waters from the overlying water concentration
410 indicating net consumption of oxygen throughout the oxic
411 sediment layer. The situation of March showed different
412 characteristics with a peak of oxygen close to the sediment-
413 water interface showing benthic primary production at the
414 sediment surface. Favorable light conditions were recorded
415 at this period with exceptionally clear waters and sunny
416 weather on the day where these oxygen profiles were mea-
sured (visual inspection by divers). Investigation of the sediment indicated the presence of sparse benthic pennate diatoms, which are known to achieve photosynthesis when significant light intensity penetrates to the sediment (Lindeboom, 1985). This resulted in oxygen peaks of 325 and 290 µmol l\(^{-1}\). Compared to previous studies of benthic primary production using O\(_2\) electrodes, these peaks are in the lower range since Revsbech et al. (1981) found oxygen peaks...
of five times saturation with day light conditions in the laboratory and Lindeboom et al. (1985) reported peaks of 650 µmol l\(^{-1}\) at 1.8 m depth with a dense diatom mat.

The net diffusive flux of oxygen at the sediment-water interface showed a large temporal variability between the two periods: a flux entering the sediment in February (3-6 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) and a sediment as a net source of O\(_2\) to the water column in March (–5 to –19 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)). It is noteworthy that the onset of primary production is related to the occurrence of clear waters, which is linked to several factors (water turbidity, wind direction, resuspension intensity on the seashore, concentration of suspended matter, etc.) affected by daily variations in this region rather than by seasonal trends. The rapid change in oxygen profiles and calculated flux at this period indicates that the role of the benthic system in the oxygen mass balance for the Golfe de Fos can be largely variable on a daily basis. It ranges from a large sink to a large source even for sediments situated at 20 m depth. The sediment as a source is certainly restricted to special light penetration conditions (low turbidity, sunny conditions) but the source term can be very strong and could be significant in oxygen budgets.

4.2. Spatial heterogeneity

As pointed out, mineralization rate and oxygen flux calculations show a large heterogeneity between the different in situ micro-electrodes. This is visible on the graphs and on the rate calculations performed by Profile. For in situ 2 and 3, the oxygen penetration varies by 1 mm over 4-5 mm, and the gradients at the sediment-water interface are much steeper for in situ 3 resulting in an exchange flux two times larger. The contrast is even more striking for in situ 4 and 5 with a...
difference of 2 mm in the oxygen penetration. For these two profiles, the net fluxes are within a factor of 4, which represents a very large range.

It is obvious that micro-electrodes sample only a very small portion of the sea floor, which might introduce differences between the profiles because of the natural heterogeneity of surficial sediments. Several processes could lead to spatial variability among which differences in quality/quantity of organic matter, thickness of the diffusive boundary layer linked to microtopography, presence and density of animal burrows and availability of reduced chemical species (Rabouille et al., 2002). These factors would contribute to the variability at the microprofile scale by modifying the local oxygen consumption or supply parameters.

Another aspect that should be taken into account for March situation is the fact that benthic primary production occurred through sparse benthic diatoms or small colonies of these microalgae. We think that in situ 5 profile is measured closer to a diatom aggregate than in situ 4. As already underlined by Fenchel and Glud (2000), in a photosynthetic sediment, horizontal distribution of O₂ concentration revealed spatial heterogeneity at a millimeter scale with “hotspots” of intense photosynthesis and respiration. The proximity of the aggregate would thus be another factor of variability for both production and consumption of oxygen with the coupling of these two processes in the sediment. According to Fenchel and Glud (2000), sediment-water O₂ exchange may vary by a factor of 3 and 10 for O₂-uptake and O₂-release, respectively.
over distances of 2 mm. The variability of our results are in the same range.

4.3. Coupling between benthic production and respiration

In March, where primary production was active in upper sediments, oxygen gradients in the sediment were different between the profiles, showing larger gradients at depth for the profile with the largest oxygen peak. In previous observations (Revsbech et al., 1981; Lindeboom et al., 1985), larger penetrations of oxygen were associated with larger oxygen peaks. Since these peaks are the consequence of benthic production, diffusion and consumption, larger production should create larger gradients and promote deeper penetration of oxygen in sediments. These previous studies showed that a large increase in penetration depth of oxygen (from 4 to 9 mm) is associated to very large supersaturation (up to five times saturation, around 1300 µmol l\(^{-1}\) at 14 °C, Revsbech and Jørgensen, 1983), whereas smaller oxygen supersaturations (below 650 µmol l\(^{-1}\)) do not increase penetration by more than 1 mm. Our observation of lower oxygen penetration occurring on the profile with the largest oxygen peak (325 µmol l\(^{-1}\)) indicates that oxygen consumption linked to mineralization below the photosynthetic centers is more intense. Enhanced mineralization could be linked to diffusion of cell exudates of labile organic compounds during primary production or mineralization of exo-products of cells during the photosynthetic process. This could be related to the conclusions of Lindeboom et al. (1985) who found that oxygen demand of sediment was larger in bell jars showing primary production (when placed in the dark) than bell jars kept continuously dark. Since we calculated only net production/consumption rates, we cannot fully quantify the coupling between photosynthesis and respiration, which would require gross rates to be estimated. Nevertheless, we have a clear indication of larger net oxygen consumption in dark sediment layers (below 1 mm) when net oxygen production in the top sediment layer are increased.

4.4. Comparison of measurement techniques

The two different conditions encountered in February and March allow the comparison of the performance of oxygen measurement systems in a wide range of conditions. In February, O\(_2\) profiles recorded using both laboratory and in situ techniques showed similar features: gradients at the sediment-water interface were equivalent for the two methods and oxygen penetration were slightly larger (by 1 mm) for laboratory mini-electrodes compared to in situ micro-electrodes. This might be the result of a lower sensitivity of the mini-electrodes at low oxygen concentration. In fact these electrodes show a linear calibration from 10% to 100% saturation, but calibration below 10% (ca. 25 µmol l\(^{-1}\)) was not achieved. The slope of the mini-electrode at low O\(_2\) concentration could be different from the slope at higher concentration, resulting in this apparent slope break on the oxygen profile and deeper O\(_2\) penetration measured in the laboratory. This hypothesis remains to be tested. Nevertheless, a fair agreement between in situ and laboratory gradients is found below the sediment-water interface and flux calculations show similar values for the two techniques. In these conditions, sampling, transportation and laboratory measurement do not seem to modify the observed oxygen gradients. Great care was taken during sampling (by divers) and core transportation (cold conditions) to ensure preservation of the sediment interface. Furthermore, the temperature of the core was carefully re-adjusted to the in situ conditions in a dark cold room and oxygen bubbled in the overlying water before oxygen profiling, which is certainely key to the measurement of reliable profiles. On the contrary, the different shapes of the profiles close to the oxic-anoxic boundary obtained by the two techniques point towards different biogeochemical processes: in situ profiles show large oxidation processes at the redox boundary and laboratory mini-electrodes indicates preferential mineralization of organic matter closer to the sediment-water interface.

For the situation in March with oxygen supersaturation, the comparison of electrode signals recorded by in situ and laboratory techniques shows a clear difference. Laboratory profiles do not exhibit oxygen peaks. This is certainly the consequence of keeping cores in the dark from sampling to measurements and not reproducing in situ light conditions in the laboratory. Indeed, cores were capped and kept in a dark cold room until analysis causing a disruption of photosynthesis. Revsbech et al. (1981) showed that the time necessary to erase the oxygen peak was less than 6 h for an oxygen concentration of approximately 1300 µmol l\(^{-1}\). Around 3-4 h in the dark for our cores were certainly enough for the oxygen peak to be consumed.

5. Conclusion

Sediments studied with in situ micro-electrodes at the winter-spring transition in the Golfe de Fos at 21 m depth showed a dramatic shift from a situation where mineralization dominates to a situation where benthic primary production controls the exchange flux at the sediment-water interface. Between these two sampling periods, the magnitude and direction of the oxygen flux varied from an average consumption of 5 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) to a mean production of 12 mmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) when the overlying water was clear enough to allow light penetration and photosynthetic activity. This indicates that opportunistic species can use light down to these depths and modify the exchange rate of oxygen between the sediment and the water column.

Our study also indicates that spatial heterogeneity exists down to a depth of a few millimeters in coastal sediments. We also provide additional information that primary production is very tightly coupled to respiration.

The comparison between in situ micro-electrodes and laboratory mini-electrodes showed a surprisingly good agreement for the situation dominated by respiration (Febru-
ary) for both profile shape and oxygen fluxes, but the situation with primary production was poorly captured by the laboratory mini-electrode setting.
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